

## **INTERACTIONS OF RELIABILITIES IN PERSONALITY MEASUREMENT**

---

JOHN RUST

*Institute of Psychiatry, University of London*

A novel statistical technique was used to look at the interactions of the reliabilities of personality measures with each other and with the personality scores themselves. The personality test used was Eysenck's PQ questionnaire which gives measures of psychoticism (P), extraversion (E), neuroticism (N) and a lie scale (L). Lower reliability in the P scale for high P scorers was found. The reliabilities of the P, E, N and L scales were found to be correlated. A relationship was found between E and a common reliability factor.

*Keywords:* personality measurement, interactions of reliabilities, Eysenck's Personality Questionnaire.

Test retest data, as well as yielding simple reliabilities for variables, can be used to look at differences in reliability of a measure at different points in the scale, and also at the relationship between reliabilities of different variables. This can be done by examining the correlations between the sums and the absolute differences of the test and retest scores. The approach is similar to that used in biometrical genetics to test for genotype environment interactions (Jinks & Fulkner, 1970). In our case, however, we look at sums and absolute differences of two tests given to the same person rather than at one test for each of a monozygotic twin pair. Significant correlations for the test-retest data will suggest a reliability-score interaction.

Data used are PQ scores collected by S. B. G. Eysenck on 136 men and 121 women in various colleges in London. The P0 is a pencil and paper questionnaire with 101 items which gives scores on the personality measures of psychoticism (P), extraversion (E), and neuroticism (N) together with a lie scale (L). It is a later version of the PEN (Eysenck & Eysenck, 1972). The mean scores found for these measures on our sample were close to the population means.

Table 1 is the within groups correlation matrix (adjusted for sex differences) between the sums and absolute differences for the test retest scores. We see that the correlations between the personality scores and with age are what we would expect in a sample such as this (Eysenck & Eysenck, 1969). The correlation between the P score sum and absolute difference shows an interaction between the score on this scale and its reliability. This is such that a higher P score goes with lower reliability. This may be due to lower reliability of the P measure at higher points in the scale. Alternatively, high P scorers may be less consistent in answering questionnaires or perhaps in their behavior in general.

---

Thanks are due to S. B. G. Eysenck, Institute of Psychiatry, University of London, for providing the data for this study.

Correspondence and reprint requests should be addressed to: John Rust, PhD, Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London S.E5 8AF, England.

**TABLE 1**  
**WITHIN GROUP CORRELATION MATRIX (ADJUSTED FOR SEX) OF THE SUMS AND ABSOLUTE DIFFERENCES OF FOUR PERSONALITY SCORES TOGETHER WITH AGE**

	<i>Age</i>	<i>P sum</i>	<i>E sum</i>	<i>N sum</i>	<i>L sum</i>	<i>P diff</i>	<i>E diff</i>	<i>N diff</i>
P sum	-0.19**							
E sum	-0.21**	0.15*						
N sum	-0.18*	0.15*	-0.14*					
L sum	0.37**	-0.26**	-0.11*	-0.19**				
P diff	-0.07	0.28**	0.01	0.04	-0.10			
E diff	-0.08	0.03	-0.08	0.02	0.04	0.19**		
N diff	-0.10	0.06	-0.04	0.02	-0.15*	0.21**	0.21**	
L diff	-0.06	-0.07	-0.10	-0.06	0.13	0.10	0.07	-0.05

Note: \*  $p < .05$ . \*\*  $p < .01$ .

The intercorrelations between the absolute difference scores seem to suggest a common reliability factor. This is confirmed by the principal components analysis of the matrix given in Table 2.

**TABLE 2**  
**PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ROTATION OF THE CORRELATION MATRIX GIVEN IN TABLE 1.**  
**ONLY COMPONENTS WITH EIGENVALUES GREATER THAN 1 ARE GIVEN**

<i>Component</i>	<i>I</i>	<i>II</i>	<i>III</i>
Age	0.64	-0.22	0.02
P sum	-0.62	0.03	0.10
E sum	-0.28	0.47	0.66
N sum	-0.38	0.01	-0.76
L sum	0.68	-0.23	0.16
P diff	-0.48	-0.50	0.24
E diff	-0.18	-0.67	0.12
N diff	-0.37	-0.50	0.10
L diff	0.24	-0.32	0.02
Eigenvalue	1.92	1.38	1.12

The common reliability comes out on factor II which also gives extraversion a loading of 0.47. The observation that participants giving reliable responses on one test measure tend to be more reliable on others confirms previous results (Slater, 1965). It seems from our study that this characteristic is associated with extraversion. Either extraverts are more reliable in answering questionnaires, or they are more stable in their personality characteristics over time. From the principal components analysis we also see that factor I shows the expected changes in personality with age. Factor III indicates quite a strong negative relationship between E and N after other variables have been accounted for.

To conclude, a relationship was found between the P score and reliability on the P scale. A common reliability factor in questionnaire responses was demonstrated and found to be related to extraversion. These results, as well as being interesting in themselves, demonstrate a method by which more information can be obtained from ordinary test-retest data.

**REFERENCES**

- Eysenck, S. B. G., & Eysenck, H. J. (1969). Scores of three personality variables as a function of age, sex and social class. *British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, **8**, 69-76.
- Eysenck, S. B. G., & Eysenck, H. J. (1972). The questionnaire measurement of psychoticism. *Psychological Medicine*, **2**, 50-55.
- Jinks, J. L., & Fulkner, D. W. (1970). Comparison of the biometrical genetical, mava, and classical approaches to the analysis of human behaviour. *Psychology Bulletin*, **73**, 311-349.
- Slater, P. (1965). The test-retest reliability of some methods of multiple comparison. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, **18**, 227-241.